Asymmetric Synthesis of 4,8- Dihydroxyisochroman-1-one Polyketide Metabolites Using Chiral Hypervalent Iodine(III)

LETTERS 2012 Vol. 14, No. 5 1294–1297

ORGANIC

Morifumi Fujita,* Kazuhiro Mori, Mio Shimogaki, and Takashi Sugimura

Graduate School of Material Science, University of Hyogo, Kohto, Kamigori, Hyogo 678-1297, Japan

fuji@sci.u-hyogo.ac.jp

Received January 23, 2012

Stereoselective oxylactonization of *ortho-*alkenylbenzoate with chiral hypervalent iodine is applied to the asymmetric synthesis of 4-oxyisochroman-1-one polyketide metabolites including 4-hydroxymellein (1), a derivative of fusarentin 2, monocerin (3), and an epimer of monocerin epi-3.

The 4-oxyisochroman-1-one motif is present in some types of natural products such as $1-8$ illustrated in Figure 1, which includes a number of bioactive polyketide metabolites.¹ Owing to their biological and pharmacological potential,

these compounds have attracted considerable attention.¹⁻⁵ From a synthetic viewpoint, the 4-hydroxyisochroman-1-one structure has been strategically approached in the following two ways: (1) hetero-Diels-Alder cycloaddition of orthoquinone dimethides followed by oxidation; (2) oxidative rearrangement of isobenzofurans followed by reduction.⁵ However, enantiomeric control and the total synthesis of this class of natural products are still challenging issues.

Oxidative lactonization of ortho-alkenylbenzoates could be an alternative and efficient route toward the 4 hydroxyisochroman-1-one motif, if it proceeds with endoselectivity. Unfortunately, the oxidative lactonization using conventional oxidizing reagents yielded a phthalide product because of *exo* selectivity.^{$6,7$} In contrast, *endo* selective oxylactonization was achieved by using hypervalent

^{(1) (}a) (3R,4R)-1: Aldridge, D. C.; Galt, S.; Giles, D.; Turner, W. B. J. Chem. Soc. (C) 1971, 1623. Garson, M. J.; Staunton, J.; Jones, P. G. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1984, 1021. (b) (3S,4S)-1:Izawa, Y.; Hirose, T.; Shimizu, T.; Koyama, K.; Natori, S. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 2323. (c) 2: Zhang, W.; Krohn, K.; Draeger, S.; Schulz, B. J. Nat. Prod. 2008, 71, 1078. Tianpanich, K.; Prachya, S.; Wiyakrutta, S.; Mahidol, C.; Ruchirawat, S.; Kittakoop, P. J. Nat. Prod. 2011, 74, 79. (d) 3: Aldridge, D. C.; Turner, W. B. J. Chem. Soc. (C) 1970, 2598. (e) 4: Isaka, M.; Yangchum, A.; Intamas, S.; Kocharin, K.; Jones, E. B. G.; Kongsaeree, P.; Prabpai, S.Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 4396. Xu, L.; Xue, J.; Wei, X.; He, Z.; Chen, X. J. Nat. Prod. 2010, 73, 885. (f) 5: Nonaka, G.; Sakai, T.; Mihashi, K.; Nishioka, I. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1991, 39, 884. (g) 6: Ritzau, M.; Vettermann, R.; Fleck, W. F.; Gutsche, W.; Dornberger, K.; Graefe, U. J. Antibiot. 1997, 50, 791. (h) 7: Li, S.; Marquardt, R. R.; Frohlich, A. A. Food Chem. Toxicol. 2000, 38, 141. (i) 8: Arai, K.; Yoshimura, T.; Itatani, Y.; Yamamoto, Y. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1983, 31, 925.

⁽²⁾ Monocerine (3) has been synthesized by taking advantage of the tetrahydrofuran framework; see: Mori, K.; Takaishi, H. *Tetrahedron*
1989, 45, 1639. Dillon, M. P.; Simpson, T. J.; Sweeney, J. B. *Tetrahedron* Lett. 1992, 33, 7569. Mallareddy, K.; Rao, S. P. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 8535. Cassidy, J. H.; Farthing, C. N.; Marsden, S. P.; Pedersen, A.; Slater, M.; Stemp, G. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 4118. Kwon, H. K.; Lee, Y. E.; Lee, E. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2995.

⁽³⁾ For synthesis of 5, see: Deffieux, D.; Natangelo, A.; Malik, G.; Pouysegu, L.; Charris, J.; Quideau, S. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 1628. Pouységu, L.; Deffieux, D.; Malik, G.; Natangelo, A.; Quideau, S. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2011, 28, 853.

⁽⁴⁾ Hentemann, M. F.; Allen, J. G.; Danishefsky, S. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 1937.

⁽⁵⁾ Hobson, S. J.; Parkin, A.; Marquez, R. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2813. Egan, B. A.; Paradowski, M.; Thomas, L. H.; Marquez, R. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2086.

⁽⁶⁾ Berti, G. J. Org. Chem. 1959, 24, 934 and references herein. Annunziata, R.; Cinquini, M.; Cozzi, F.; Giaroni, P.; Benaglia, M. Tetrahedron 1991, 47, 5737. Denieul, M.-P.; Laursen, B.; Hazell, R.; Skrystrup, T. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 6052. Ohzeki, T.; Mori, K. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 2003, 67, 2240. Cottrell, I. F.; Cowley, A. R.; Croft, L. J.; Hymns, L.; Moloney, M. G.; Nettleton, E. J.; Smithies, H. K.; Thompson, A. L. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 2537.

iodine(III) as an oxidizing reagent. 9 Here, we describe the asymmetric synthesis of 4-oxyisochroman-1-one polyketide metabolites, containing 4-hydroxymellein (1), a derivative of fusarentin 2, monocerin (3), and an epimer of monocerin *epi*-3 by oxylactonization with hypervalent iodine.

Figure 1. Natural products containing hydroxyisochromanone.

Asymmetric oxidation with chiral hypervalent iodine has attracted considerable attention owing to its high enantioselectivity in metal-free oxidation. $8-12$ The chiral

(8) For recent highlights on asymmetric oxidation with chiral hypervalent iodine, see: Ngatimin, M.; Lupton, D. W. Aust. J. Chem. 2010, 63, 653. Liang, H.; Ciufolini, M. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 11849.

(9) Fujita, M.; Yoshida, Y.; Miyata, K.; Wakisaka, A.; Sugimura, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 7068.

(10) For enantioselective oxidation of alkene with chiral hypervalent iodine(III), see: (a) Hirt, U. H.; Spingler, B.; Wirth, T. J. \overrightarrow{Org} . Chem. 1998, 63, 7674. (b) Hirt, U. H.; Schuster, M. F. H.; French, A. N.; Wiest, O. G.; Wirth, T. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 1569. (c) Fujita,M.; Okuno, S.; Lee, H. J.; Sugimura, T.; Okuyama, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 8691. (d) Fujita, M.; Ookubo, Y.; Sugimura, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 1298. (e) Fujita,M.;Wakita,M.; Sugimura, T. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 3983. (f) Röben, C.; Souto, J. A.; González, Y.; Lishchynskyi, A.; Muniz, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9478. (g) After submission of this manuscript a related report on intramolecular oxyamination was published: Farid, U.; Wirth, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., DOI: 10.1002/ anie.201107703.

(11) For catalytic use of chiral hypervalent iodine generated in situ, see: (a) Richardson, R. D.; Page, T. K.; Altermann, S.; Paradine, S. M.; French, A. N.; Wirth, T. Synlett 2007, 538. (b) Dohi, T.; Maruyama, A.; Takenaga, N.; Senami, K.; Minamitsuji, Y.; Fujioka, H.; Caemmerer, S. B.; Kita, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3787. (c) Quideau, S.; Lyvinec, G.; Marguerit, M.; Bathany, K.; Ozanne-Beaudenon, A.; Buffeteau, T.; Cavagnat, D.; Chénedé, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 4605. (d) Farooq, U.; Schäfer, S.; Shah, A. A.; Freudendahl, D. M.; Wirth, T. Synthesis 2010, 1023. (e) Uyanik, M.; Yasui, T.; Ishihara, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 2175.

(12) For reactions featuring metal-free conditions, see: Kang, Y.-B.; Gade, L. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 3658. Zhdankin, V. V. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 1185. Duschek, A.; Kirsch, S. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1524. Silva, L. F., Jr.; Olofsson, B. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2011, 28, 1722. Miyamoto, K.; Sei, Y.; Yamaguchi, K.; Ochiai, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 1382. Kita, Y.; Morimoto, K.; Ito, M.; Ogawa, C.; Goto, A.; Dohi, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 1668.

hypervalent iodine based on a lactate motif is one of the most attractive reagents for this process because of its short-step access.^{9,10c-10g,11e} The lactate-derived reagents 9-10 (Figure 2) were used for a key step in the asymmetric synthesis of oxyisochromanones. In particular, the three targets, 2, ent-3, and epi-3, were divergently provided from a single (R,E) -2-(4-oxyhept-1-enyl)benzoate substrate. Selective formation of one of these targets was achieved through judicious choice of the oxy-functional group in the substrate and the stereochemistry of the chiral hypervalent iodine reagent employed.

For the asymmetric synthesis of 4-hydroxymellein (1), acetoxy-protected propenylbenzoate 11d was employed as a substrate of oxylactonization with enantiomerically pure hypervalent iodine (Scheme 1). The enantioselective oxylactonization of 11d with 10 gave 12d in 68% isolated yield with 96% ee of the (3S,4S)-isomer. Hydrolysis of the acetoxy product 12d successfully yielded the target compound (3S,4S)-1 (13d) in 61% yield. Details are summarized in Tables S1 and S2 (Supporting Information (SI)), along with the results of model compounds $11a-11c$.¹³

Scheme 1. Synthesis of 4-Hydroxymellein and Analogs

In order to identify suitable conditions for the synthesis of 2 and 3, the simplified model substrates 16Sa and 16Ha were subjected to oxylactonization with hypervalent iodine. The yield and selectivity of the model reactions are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. The reaction of the silyl ether substrate 16Sa yielded dihydrofuran-fused isochromanones 17a and 18a, but no acetoxy product (an analog of 19a), as shown in Table 1. In contrast, the acetoxy

⁽⁷⁾ Oxidative lactonization of aryl-substituted substrates such as $2-(2-\text{phenylethenyl})$ benzoic acid gave isochromanone products. The endo- vs exo-selectivity may be controlled by an electron-donating aryl group; see: Berti, G. Tetrahedron 1958, 4, 393. Clive, D. L. J.; Russel, C. G.; Chittattu, G.; Singh, A. Tetrahedron 1980, 36, 1399. Izumi, T.; Morishita, N. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1994, 31, 145. Shahzad, S. A.; Venin, C.; Wirth, T. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 3465.

⁽¹³⁾ Rearranged γ-lactone 14 was often obtained in the hydrolysis (Table S2). The rearrangement to thermodynamically stable γ -lactone may take place via hydrolysis of the δ -lactone moiety. The rate for the hydrolysis of the δ -lactone moiety must be affected by the electronic property of a substituent on the benzene ring: the acetoxy group at the 8-position of 12c,d may be hydrolyzed to an electron-donating hydroxy group to decrease the rate for hydrolysis of the lactone moiety. Thus, selectivity in the hydrolysis products 13/14 is controlled by the substituent on the benzene moiety as well as basicity of the reaction conditions. Nonsubstituted 13a was obtained under mild basic conditions with K_2CO_3 (entry 2 in Table S2).

product 19a was obtained with 17a and 18a in the reaction of the hydroxyl substrate 16Ha (Table 2). These results indicate that the silyloxy group does not act as a protecting group but preferably enhances the electron-donating ability¹⁴ of the oxy-group to promote nucleophilic oxycyclization. The electron donation may be futhermore enahanced by coordination of BF_3 OEt₂ to the silyl group.

 α ^a Product ratio determined by ¹H NMR of a crude mixture. α ^b Yield for the product purified by column chromatography. ^c Enantiomer ratio $((2R)$ -17a/(2S)-17a or (2R)-18a/(2S)-18a) determined by GC analysis on a chiral stationary phase. ^d Products were purified as a diastereomeric mixture (17/18 = $43/57$) in 74%.

Table 2. Oxylactonization of Hydroxy Substrate

^{*a*} Product ratio determined by ¹H NMR of a crude mixture. ^{*b*} Yield for the product purified by column chromatography. The value in parentheses is enantiomer ratio determined by GC analysis on a chiral stationary phase; $(2R)$ -17a $/(2S)$ -17a, $(2R)$ -18a $/(2S)$ -18a, and (R) -19a $/(S)$ -19a. c In the absence of AcOH. \rm^d An unidentified product X was included in 12% content. ^e Products were purified as a mixture of $17/18/19/X = 49/19/22/$ 10 in 88% yield. The enantiomeric ratio was not determined.

In the reaction of the silyl substrate (Table 1), an ∼1/1 mixture of $17a/18a$ was obtained (entries $1-3$), unless both substrate 16Sa and reagent 9 were enantiomerically pure (entries 4 and 5). Selective formation of 17a and 18a was achieved in the reaction of (R) -16Sa with (R) -9 (entry 4) and in that with (S) -9 (entry 5), respectively. The configuration of the $(2R)$ -17a product corresponds to that of the antipodal enantiomer of monocerin (ent-3). Thus, a combination of the (S) -isomer of the silyloxy substrate and (S) -reagent must be suitable conditions for the selective synthesis of 3.

The structure of target 2 corresponds with that of the acetoxy product (R) -19a. The reaction of the hydroxyl substrate 16Ha gave the acetoxy product 19a (Table 2). The yield of 19a drastically decreased in the absence of acetic acid (entry 2). The desired enantiomer (R) -19a was obtained in the reaction of the (R) -hydroxyl substrate (entries $4-6$). Use of the (S)-iodine reagent increased the selectivity of the acetoxy product (entry 5), while reaction with the (R) -reagent gave an isomeric mixture (entry 6).

The above-mentioned results provide useful information from mechanistic and synthetic viewpoints. The mechanistic points are discussed as follows. A plausible reaction mechanism for the double oxidative cyclization to give 17 and 18 is proposed in Scheme 2. The oxidative cyclization may be initiated by electrophilic addition of the hypervalent iodine activated by $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ toward 16S. Nucleophilic substitutions with the internal silyloxy and methoxycarbonyl groups follow the electrophilic addition and proceed with inversion of configuration to give 17 and 18. The product 17a with a (2R,3aS,9bS)-configuration is formed via the Si-face attack, while 18a is formed via the Reface attack toward the (R) -alkene substrate. The $1/1$ mixture of $17/18$ in the reaction with achiral reagent PhI(OAc)₂ (entries 1 and 3, Table 1) indicates that the stereogenic center of the silyloxy substrate 16Sa has little effect on the diastereoface differentiation. The chirality of the hypervalent iodine reagent controls the diastereoface selectivity well: the *R*-reagent preferentially attacks the *Si*-face to give 17a (entry 4, Table 1), and the S-reagent attacks the Re-face to give 18a (entry 5, Table 1). In other words, the reaction of the silyloxy substrate takes place under reagent control.

Scheme 2. Plausible Pathway

In contrast, the reaction of the hydroxyl substrate 16H proceeds under substrate control. The reaction of 16H with the achiral reagent $PhI(OAc)$ predominantly gives 18 and 19 (entries 1 and 4, Table 2). The acetoxy product 19 forms through the Re-face addition. This indicates that electrophilic addition of the achiral iodine reagent

⁽¹⁴⁾ A silyl group is inductively electon-donating; see: Bassindale, A. R.; Taylor, P. G. In The Chemistry of Silicon Compounds; Patai, S., Rappoport, Z., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons: Chichester, 1989; Part 2, Chapter 14, pp 892-963.

preferentially takes place on the Re -face.¹⁵ That is, the achiral reagent is able to differentiate the diastereoface of the alkene during the electrophilic addition step. In other words, the stereogenic center of the hydroxyl substrate controls the diastereoface selectivity well.¹⁶ In addition to the substrate control, the stereodifferentiating ability of the chiral hypervalent iodine reagent also affects the product distribution: the (R) -9 reagent favors the Si-face attack, which is the reverse of the substrate control and, thus, results in a decrease in diastereoface selectivity to afford isomeric mixtures (entry 6, Table 2). In contrast to the mismatched pair (the reaction of (R) -16Ha with (R) -9), the reaction of (R) -16Ha with (S) -9 yielded only 18 and 19, both of which were derived from the Re-face attack (entry 5, Table 2).¹⁷ These observations of the double asymmetric induction are consistent with the kinetic resolution of racemic 16Ha with (R) -9, where (R) -enriched 16Ha remained (SI). This indicates that the reaction of (S) -16Ha (the matched pair) is faster than that of (R) -16Ha (the mismatched pair).

Based on the optimization of reaction conditions presented above, an advanced model substrate 16b was subjected to oxylactonization (Scheme 3). An analog of monocerin, (2R)-17b, was obtained in the reaction of the silyl substrate (R) -16Sb with (R) -9 in 65% yield. The reaction of the hydroxyl substrate (R) -16Hb with (S) -9 gave the 4-acetoxyisochromanone product 19b in 31%

yield together with 18b. Thus, the acetoxy group of 16b does not affect the selectivity of double asymmetric induction. Oxylactonization of the trioxy-substituted benzoate substrate 16c proceeded with a selectivity similar to that of 16a and 16b. Fortunately, the electron-rich aromatic portion of 16c was tolerated during the oxylactonization. Syntheses of 2, *ent*-3, and *epi*-3 were completed through hydrolysis under basic conditions. The desired enantiomer of monocerin (3) was obtained in the oxylactonization of (S) -16Sc with (S) -9 (48% yield) and following hydrolysis $(41\%$ yield).

A catalytic variant of the oxylactonization is obtained by using chiral iodoarene 20 as an organocatalyst, m-CPBA as a terminal oxidant, and trifluoroacetic acid as an activator. Enantioselectivity in the catalytic variant was examined in the reaction of achiral substrates $21a-f$ (Scheme 4; Table S3, SI). Double oxidative cyclization preferentially took place in the reaction of the hydroxyl substrate 21 to give the dihydrofuran-fused isochromanone 22 with up to 91% ee.

Based on the enantioselective catalytic reaction, monocerin (3) was synthesized under the catalytic conditions as follows. The substrate (S) -16Sc was used for the reaction in the presence of (S, S) -20 as an organocatalyst to give a mixture of $(2S)$ -17c $/(2S)$ -18c in a 9/1 ratio. These isomers were separated by column chromatography with $SiO₂$, and (2S)-17c was isolated in 39% yield.

In conclusion, the first asymmetric syntheses of 4-hydroxymellein (1) and a derivative of fusarentin 2 were achieved by using enantio- and diastereoselective acetoxylactonization with chiral hypervalent iodine(III). Monocerin (3) was also concisely prepared under both the stoichiometric and catalytic conditions. These synthetic applications allow the outstanding selectivity and synthetic capabilities of oxidation mediated by hypervalent iodine to be demonstrated.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by KAKENHI (23550059) from JSPS. We thank Dr. Hiroki Akutsu and Prof. Shin'ichi Nakatsuji (Hyogo) for their assistance with X-ray crystallographic analyses.

Supporting Information Available. Experimental procedures and data for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs. org.

^{(15) (}a) The product distribution of $18/19$ is determined by a nucleophilic displacement step at the benzylic position of the iodonium^{15b} derived from the Re -face electrophilic addition: the internal hydroxy group attacks to give 18, and intermolecular substitution with AcO^- or AcOH gives 19. The competition may be affected by the steric effect of the aryliodane moiety. (\hat{b}) The three-membered ring structure of the iodonium intermediate has previously been proposed judging from the high enantioselectivity in oxylactonization of 2-ethenylbenzoic acid.⁹

⁽¹⁶⁾ Association of hypervalent iodine with a hydroxy group has been suggested; see: (a) Ding, H.; Chen, D. Y.-K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 676. (b) Traore, M.; Maynedier, M.; Souard, F.; Choisnard, L.; Vial, H.; Wong, Y.-S. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 1409. (c) Pouysegu, L.; Chassaing, S.; Dejugnac, D.; Lamidey, A.-M.; Miqueu, K.; Sotiropoulos, J.-M.; Quideau, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3552. (d) Baldwin, J. E.; Adlington, R. M.; Sham, V. W.-W.; Marquez, R.; Bulger, P. G. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 2353.

⁽¹⁷⁾ The results of entry 3 in Table 2 are explained by a combination of those of the matched and mismatched pairs because the substrate is a

The authors declare no competing financial interest.